

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/890,157	Applicant(s) INMAN, MICHAEL	
	Examiner Kishor Mayekar	Art Unit 1753	

All Participants:

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(2) William Holt.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

48 and 54

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called the Attorney to inform that the amendment of March 15, 2004 did not reflect the change to the claims 48 and 54 and the specification per the examiner's amendment of May 1, 2003. The attorney agrees to reflect the same change into the claims as amended on March 1, 2004 and into the specification by an examiner's amendment.